Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/807,622	DANECHI, SASAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerrold Johnson	3728
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after final</u>		
(1) <u>Jerrold Johnson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Peter Scull</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-20 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner		
——————————————————————————————————————	Applicant's Representative Sid	anature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that all independent claims would be allowable if amended to include translational movement of the support member and a recitation drawn to the inventive concept of the mechanism not being in contact with the support member in the first position and being in contact with the support member in the second position.